

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.ABRAHAM MATHEW

MONDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JUNE 2014/9TH ASHADHA, 1936

Crl.MC.No. 3527 of 2014 ()

CC. NO.995/2011 OF JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT-I, PALAKKAD

.....

PETITIONERS/ACCUSED NO.1, 2 & 3:

- 1. PAZHANIYAPPAN, SON OF ARUNACHALAM,
AGED 41 YEARS, MUTHALIYAR THARA,
TENARI P.O., ELAPPULLY, PALAKKAD-678 622.**
- 2. KUNJAMMA, WIFE OF ARUNACHALAM,
AGED 63 YEARS, MUTHALIYAR THARA,
TENARI P.O., ELAPPULLY, PALAKKAD-678 622.**
- 3. ARUNACHALAM, S/O.ARUMUGHAN,
AGED 68 YEARS, MUTHALIYAR THARA,
TENARI P.O., ELAPPULLY, PALAKKAD-678 622.**

BY ADV. SRI.P.K.NIJOY.

RESPONDENTS/STATE & COMPLAINANT:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.**
- 2. GEETHA, DAUGHTER OF MANI,
AGED 33 YEARS, DOOR NO.17, MEEN NAGAR,
VANCHIYAPURAM PIRIVU, SULAISARAMPATTI P.O.,
POLLACHI, COIMBATORE, TAMILNADU, PIN- 642 006.**

**R1 BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR MR.DHANESH MATHEW MANJOORAN.
R2 BY ADV. SRI.C.JOSEPH JOHNY.**

**THIS CRIMINAL MISC. CASE HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 30-06-2014, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE
FOLLOWING:**

rs.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S ANNEXURES:-

**ANNEXURE-A1: CERTIFIED COPY OF THE SAID COMPLAINT FILED BY THE
2ND RESPONDENT BEFORE THE JUDICIAL FIRST CLASS
MAGISTRATE COURT-I, PALAKKAD.**

**ANNEXURE-A2: CERTIFIED COPY OF THE FIR IN CRIME NO.597 OF 2011
DATED 24.10.2011.**

**ANNEXURE-A3: CERTIFIED COPY OF FINAL REPORT IN
CRIME NO.597 OF 2011 BEFORE THE JUDICIAL
FIRST CLASS MAGISTRATE COURT-I, PALAKKAD.**

**ANNEXURE-A4: TRUE COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT DATED 24.05.2014 OF THE
2ND RESPONDENT.**

RESPONDENT'S ANNEXURES:- NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

P.A TO JUDGE

rs.

K. ABRAHAM MATHEW, J.

Crl.M.C. No. 3527 of 2014

Dated this the 30th day of June, 2014

ORDER

Petitioners are accused in C.C. 995/2011 on the file of Judicial First Class Magistrate Court-I, Palakkad. The 1st petitioner is the husband of the 1st informant. The other petitioners are his parents. The petitioners are alleged to have committed the offences under sections 323, 324, 498A and 506(i) of IPC. It is submitted that the matter has been settled between the parties and the proceedings in the criminal case may be quashed.

2. Heard.

3. The 1st informant (2nd respondent) has entered appearance through her counsel and filed affidavit to the effect that the matter has been settled between the parties and she is not interested in proceeding with the criminal case and has no objection to the criminal case being

quashed. I am satisfied that this is a fit case to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court under section 482 of Cr.P.C.

In the result, this Criminal M.C. is allowed and the proceedings in C.C. 995/2011 on the file of Judicial First Class Magistrate Court-I, Palakkad, are quashed. If any material objects have been produced in the case, the learned Magistrate may dispose them of in accordance with law.

Sd/-
K. ABRAHAM MATHEW,
JUDGE

//True Copy//

P.A. to Judge

jjj