

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE THOMAS P.JOSEPH

MONDAY, THE 30TH DAY OF JUNE 2014/9TH ASHADHA, 1936

Bail Appl..No. 3532 of 2014 ()

CRIME NO. NOT KNOWN OF AIROOR POLICE STATION, VARKALA

PETITIONER :

**ATHUL @ MANU, 39 YRS.,
VILAYIL HOUSE, MUTHANA P.O.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.**

**BY ADVS.SRI.R.MANOJ
SMT.SINDHU MANOJ
SMT.P.P.BLESSY MOL**

RESPONDENT :

**THE STATE OF KERALA
REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT OF KERALA
ERNAKULAM - 682 031
(ON BEHALF OF SI OF POLICE, AIROOR POLICE STATION).**

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. V.S. SREEJITH

**THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 30-06-2014,
THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:**

Mn

THOMAS P. JOSEPH, J.

Bail Appl. No.3532 of 2014

Dated this the 30th day of June 2014

O R D E R

Petitioner is accused in Crime No.383 of 2014 of the Airoor Police station for the offences punishable under Secs.294(b) and 353 of the Indian Penal Code, apprehends arrest and has filed the application.

2. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the application. It is submitted that the petitioner is involved in Crime No.26 of 2013 for the offence including Secs.283,384 etc. , Crime No.382 of 2014 for the offences including Secs.323, 365 and 506(ii) of the Indian Penal Code and the Police party along with the defacto complainant in Crime No.382 of 2014 went to the office of the political party belonging to the petitioner to prepare mahazar in connection with the incident in Crime No.382 of 2014. Thereon, he obstructed the Police from discharging their official duty.

3. Learned counsel submitted that the allegations

are not true.

4. Having regard to the nature of allegations and considering antecedents, request of the petitioner for pre arrest bail cannot be allowed.

5. Learned counsel requested that the petitioner may be permitted to surrender before the investigating officer.

6. Let the petitioner surrender before the investigating officer on 07.07.2014 at 10.00 a.m. for interrogation and on other dates as directed by the investigating officer in case interrogation is not completed that day. In case the petitioner is arrested, he shall be produced before the jurisdictional magistrate as early as possible.

The application is disposed of with the above direction.

THOMAS P. JOSEPH
JUDGE

NS