

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA.

CWP No. 8477 of 2014

Decided on: 28.11.2014

Shankar Dass.

....Petitioner

versus

Himachal Pradesh Housing Urban Development Authority &
another.

....Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sureshwar Thakur, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting or not?¹ No

For the petitioner: Mr. L.N. Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. C.N. Singh, Advocate.

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which his case is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in ***LPA No. 490 of 2011***, titled as ***Himachal Pradesh Housing and Urban Development Authority & Others*** vs. ***Baldev Chand***, along with other connected matters, decided on ***15.5.2012***.

2. No other point is urged.

3. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance

¹ *Whether local reporters of the newspapers are allowed to see the judgment?*

with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioner, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

4. With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol)
Judge

28th November, 2014
(m.gandhi)

(Sureshwar Thakur)
Judge