

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA**

CWP No.8395 of 2014-J
Date of Decision: 28.11.2014

Bhima RamPetitioner.

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others.Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sureshwar Thakur, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹No.

For the Petitioner: Mr. Raju Ram Rahi, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, AG., with M/s
Anup Rattan, M.A. Khan, Addl.
AGs., Vikram Thakur, Dy. AG., and
Mr. Ramesh Thakur, Assistant
Advocate General.

Sanjay Karol, J (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which his case is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in *CWP No.2735 of 2010*, titled as *Rakesh Kumar Versus State of H.P. & others*, along with connected matters, on 28.07.2010 and decision rendered by the Apex Court in *Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).21939-22009/2011*, titled as *State of H.P. & ors. Versus Som Nath & ors. Etc.*, on 07.05.2013.

2. No other point is urged.

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

3. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, the authorities shall pass a reasoned order, which shall be communicated to all concerned, including the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.

(Sureshwar Thakur),
Judge.

November 28, 2014
(Purohit)