

**Criminal Revision No. 318 of 2014**

**31.10.2014 Present:** Mr.V.S. Rathour, Advocate, for the petitioner.

**Cr. Revision No. 318 of 2014**

Admit.

Issue notice returnable on **31<sup>st</sup> December, 2014.**

**Cr.M.P.1085 of 2014**

Notice in the above terms. The revision petition raises arguable points and has already been admitted vide separate order of the day. The hearing of the revision is not likely to take place in near future. Therefore, it would be expedient in the interest of justice, if the conviction and sentence as imposed by the learned Courts below is ordered to be suspended, least by the time the revision will be taken for hearing, the petitioner will have undergone, if not, entire at least substantive part of the sentence. Accordingly, the conviction and sentence passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Court No.(3), Shimla on 30.8.2012/6.9.2012 in Case. No. 981-3 of 2011 and affirmed by learned Additional Sessions Judge (II), Shimla on 2.8.2014 in Cr. Appeal No. 33-S/10 of 2014/12, is suspended during the pendency of the revision subject to the petitioner depositing entire compensation amount within a period of eight weeks, if not already deposited, and on furnishing personal bond in

the sum of ₹20,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court with the undertaking that the petitioner shall appear in the court as and when required and shall surrender to the Court to serve out the sentence in case the revision is ultimately dismissed. The application stands disposed of.

Copy **dasti**.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan),  
Judge.**

**31<sup>st</sup> October, 2014**  
(KRS)

