IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP No. 7202 of 2014

Decided on: 26.9.2014

Ashwani Kumar & others.

....Petitioners

versus

State of H.P. & others.

....Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.S. Rana, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting or not?¹ No

For the petitioners : Mr. Paresh Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondent: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate

General with Mr. B.S. Parmar, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Vikram Thakur, Deputy

Advocate General.

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioners shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which their case is covered by the decision rendered by the Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 105 of 2010, titled as State of H.P. & others vs. Rakesh Chand & others, decided on 13.12.2012.

- 2. No other point is urged.
- 3. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioners to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any request is received by the appropriate

¹ Whether local reporters of the newspapers are allowed to see the judgment?

authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioners. Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioners, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioners.

3. With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol)
Judge

26th September, 2014 (kck)

(P.S. Rana) Judge