IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CRMMO No.: <u>42 of 2014.</u> Decided on: <u>30.06.2014.</u>

Hari Ram.

... Petitioner.

Versus

Kamla and another.

... Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate.

<u>Dharam Chand Chaudhary</u>, <u>J.</u>(Oral):

Respondents are duly served and Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate has put in appearance on their behalf.

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner, on instructions, seeks permission to withdraw this petition. Permission granted. The petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of. Liberty, however, is reserved in favour of the petitioner to seek remedy, if any, available to him in accordance with law against the impugned order. The petition stands disposed of.

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary), Judge.

June 30, 2014 (sck).

¹ Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.