IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No.6932 of 2014-D Date of Decision: 26.09.2014

Pawan SinghPetitioner.

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others ... Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.S. Rana, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹No.

For the Petitioners: Mr. Vikrant Thakur and Mr. Sushant

Vir Singh Thakur, Advocates.

For the Respondents: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, AG., with Mr.

Anup Rattan, Addl. AG., Mr. Vikram Thakur, Mr.Puneet Rajta, Dy. AGs., and Mr.J.S. Guleria, Assistant Advocate General, for

the respondents-State.

Sanjay Karol, J (oral)

It is seen that petitioner has made a representation dated 27.06.2014 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2, bringing out his grievances, which is still pending before the authority concerned.

- 2. Under instructions, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that petitioner shall be content if a direction is issued to respondent No.2/competent authority to decide representation dated 27.06.2014 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to the same.
- 3. No other point is urged.

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

4. Leaving the questions of law open, a direction is issued to respondent No.2/competent authority to consider and decide the petitioner's representation dated 27.06.2014 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law, by affording due opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner, within a period of four weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order. Needless to add, the authorities shall pass a reasoned order, which shall be communicated to all concerned.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application(s), if any.

Copy dasti.

(Sanjay Karol), Judge.

(P.S. Rana), September 26, 2014 Judge.