

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA

CWP No.6262 of 2014-G
Date of Decision: 29.08.2014

Smt. MeenaPetitioner.

Versus

The State of H.P. & others Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.S. Rana, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the Petitioner: Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, AG., with Mr. B.S. Parmar, Mr. Anup Rattan, Addl. AGs., Mr. Vikram Thakur and Mr. Puneet Rajta, Dy. AGs., for the respondents-State.

Sanjay Karol, J (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which her case is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in *CWP No.2955 of 2014-E*, titled as *Smt. Maili Versus The State of Himachal Pradesh and others*, on 01.05.2014 (Annexure P-2).

2. No other point is urged.

3. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, the authorities shall pass a reasoned order, which shall be communicated to all concerned.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.

August 29, 2014
(Purohit)

(P.S. Rana),
Judge.