

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

Ex. Pet. (T) No. 33 of 2012

Date of Decision: 31.5.2014

D.D. Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

C.P. Verma & anr.

...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajiv Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?

For the petitioner : Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents : Ms.Sunita Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

**Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate vice
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Assistant
Solicitor General of India, for
respondent No. 2.**

Rajiv Sharma, Judge (oral):

Ms. Sunita Sharma, learned counsel for respondent No. 1 submits that the petitioner has been paid the upto date pension. It is further submitted that the respondent-University will keep on releasing the pension. In view of this, the present petition is rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as infructuous, so also the pending application(s), if any. No costs.

**(Rajiv Sharma),
Judge**

May 31, 2014
(kalpana)