

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA

CWP No.3887 of 2014-I
Date of Decision: 31.07.2014

Pranav KumarPetitioner.

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & othersRespondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.S. Rana, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the Petitioner: Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma,
Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, AG., with M/s
B.S. Parmar, Ashok Chaudhary,
Addl. AGs., and Mr. Vikram Thakur,
Dy. AG., for the respondents-
State.

Sanjay Karol, J (oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, without pressing the present petition submits that petitioner shall approach the competent authority for redressal of his surviving grievances within a period of two weeks. On receipt of any such request by the competent authority, the same shall be considered and decided, in accordance with law, keeping in view the family condition of the petitioner.

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

Needless to add, the authorities shall pass a reasoned order, which shall be communicated to all concerned.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of, so also the pending application(s), if any.

Copy dasti.

(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.

July 31, 2014
(Purohit)

(P.S. Rana),
Judge.