# IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 9482 of 2012-I

Date of Decision: March 31, 2014

Dhram Veer Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & others ... Respondents.

Coram:

#### The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the petitioner : Ms. Priyanka Chauhan, Advocate vice Mr.

P.P. Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

For the respondent : Mr. R. S. Verma, Addl. Advocate General

and Mr. M.L. Chauhan, Addl. A.G. for

respondents No. 1 to 3/State.

## Sanjay Karol, J. (Oral)

#### CMP(M) No. 280/2014 & CMP No. 3591/2014

For the reasons set out in the application (CMP(M) No. 280/14) delay of 7 days, in filing the application for restoration of petition, which in my considered view has sufficiently been explained, is condoned. Also for the reasons explained in the application (CMP No. 3591/2014), order dated 10.9.2013 is recalled and the petition is restored to its original number and position. Both the applications stand disposed of accordingly.

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

## CWP No. 9482 of 2012

- 2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which his case is covered by the decision rendered by the Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 1.01.2014, passed in CWP No. 9663 of 2013, titled as *Ravinder Kumar vs. State of H.P. & others* alongwith other connected matters.
- 3. No other point is urged.
- 4. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioner, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations, petition is disposed of, as also the pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol), Judge.

March <u>31</u>, 2014 (РК)