

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Ex. Petition No.4038/2013

Date of decision : 31.3.2014

Sh. Jagdev Singh and others	...	Petitioners.
<i>Versus</i>		
The State of H.P. and others.	...	Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shubham, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Ashok Chaudhary, Addl. A.G. and Mr. Anup Rattan, Addl. A.G.

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioners shall be content if they are allowed to file a representation bringing out their grievances to the notice of the competent authority, to be decided in accordance with law, within a period of two weeks from today.

2. No other point is urged.

3. Leaving the question of law open, it is always open for the petitioners to approach the respondent-authority, as prayed for. As and when any such request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

...2...

be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt thereof, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioners and other effected/aggrieved party. Needless to add, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of as not pressed, so also, the pending applications(s), if any.

**(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.**

March 31, 2014 (KS)

**(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge.**