

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.2913 of 2014-B

Date of decision : 30.4.2014

Shri Jai Singh and another ... Petitioners.
Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others
... Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?² No.

For the Petitioners : Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the Respondents : Mr. Shrawan Dogra, A.G. with Mr. B.S. Parmar, Addl. A.G. and Mr. Anup Rattan, Addl. A.G. for R-1 and R-2.

Mr. Naveen K. Bhardwaj, Advocate for R-3.

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioners shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which their case is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in *CWP No.5702 of 2011*, titled as *Dal Bahadur versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others*, alongwith connected matters, on 9.11.2011.

2. No other point is urged.

3. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioners to approach the respondents, as

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

...2...

prayed for. As and when any such request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioners. Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioners, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of as not pressed, so also pending application(s), if any.

**(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.**

April 30, 2014 (KS)

**(Dharam Chand Chaudhary),
Judge.**