

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP No. 2005 of 2014

Decided on: 31st March, 2014

Saroj Lata

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of H.P. and others

...Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the petitioner:

Mr. Amandeep Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents:

**Mr. Shrawan Dogra, A.G with
Mr. Ashok Chaudhary and
Mr. Anup Rattan, Addl. A.Gs for
the respondents-State.**

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which her case is covered by the decision rendered by ***this Court in CWP No.4550 of 2010, titled as Ravi Kumar versus State of H.P and another, along with connected matters, decided on 16th December, 2010.***

2. No other point is urged.

3. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any such request is received by the appropriate

¹ Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? Yes.

authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance with law within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioner, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

4. With these observations, present petition stands disposed of as not pressed, so also pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol)
Judge.

March 31, 2014
(naveen)

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary)
Judge