

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH,
SHIMLA.

FAO No.473 of 2007

Decided on: 31st October, 2014

Khub Ram	...	Appellant.
Versus		
Ajeet Kumar & anr..	...	Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mansoor Ahmad Mir, Chief Justice

Whether approved for reporting?

For the appellant: Mr. Ajay Thakur, Proxy Counsel.

For the Respondents: Mr. Dushyant Dadwal, Advocate, for respondent No.1.
Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Justice Mansoor Ahmad Mir, C.J. (Oral)

Mr. Ajay Thakur, Advocate, stated that the original counsel for the appellant has died and notice be issued to the appellant.

2. I have examined the impugned award. Though the appellant being the driver has been held jointly and severally liable to pay the amount of compensation, but the owner-respondent No.1 has to satisfy the impugned award. I wonder why the appeal has been filed by the driver.

3. In the given circumstances, there is no merit in the appeal and the same is dismissed.

October 31, 2014
(tr/vt)

**(Mansoor Ahmad Mir),
Chief Justice.**