IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.1739/2014-D

<u>Date of decision</u> : <u>31.3.2014</u>

Rashema Devi ... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others ... Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting? No.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Goldy Kumar, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Ashok Chaudhary, Addl. A.G. and

Mr. Anup Rattan, Addl. A.G. for State.

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, submits that petitioner shall represent to respondent No.2, claiming her entitlement and benefits under Policy dated 13.10.2009 (Annexure P-5). Prayer allowed.

- 2. No other point is urged.
- 3. Leaving the question of law open, it is always open for the petitioner to approach the respondent-authority, as prayed for. As and when any such request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt thereof, by affording adequate opportunity of

Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

hearing/representation to the petitioner and other effected/aggrieved party. Needless to add, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations, present petition stands disposed of as not pressed, so also, the pending applications(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol), Judge.

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary), Judge.

March 31, 2014 (KS)