

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA**

Criminal Revision No.39 of 2014
Date of Decision: 28.02.2014

State of Himachal PradeshPetitioner.
Versus	
Rajinder Paul Khosla & othersRespondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹No.

For the Petitioner: Mr. R.S.Verma, Additional Advocate
 General with Mr.J.S.Guleria, Assistant
 Advocate General.

For the Respondents:

Sanjay Karol, J (oral)

In terms of the impugned order dated 06.07.2013, the lower Appellate Court has only directed the investigating agency to complete the investigation and thereafter file the challan and press for the charges under the provisions of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. I find no illegality with the same. As to whether there is violation of provisions of Section 118 of the H.P. Tenancy and Land Reforms Act, 1972 or not is a matter, which has not been conclusively enquired in toto and investigated. As such, present petition, devoid of merit, is dismissed.

(Sanjay Karol),
Judge.

February 28, 2014.
(Purohit)

¹ Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?