

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
SHIMLA**

**COPCs No. 111,112 & 113/2014
Date of decision: 30.04.2014**

1. **COPC No. 111/2014**

<i>The State of H.P. & others</i>	<i>.....Petitioners</i>
<i>Versus</i>	
<i>Hukam Chand</i>	<i>...Respondent.</i>

2. **COPC No. 112/2014**

<i>The State of H.P. & others</i>	<i>.....Petitioners</i>
<i>Versus</i>	
<i>Gawanoo Ram</i>	<i>...Respondent.</i>

3. **COPC No. 113/2014**

<i>The State of H.P. & others</i>	<i>.....Petitioners</i>
<i>Versus</i>	
<i>Doom Ram</i>	<i>...Respondent.</i>

Coram:

**The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mansoor Ahmad Mir, ACJ.
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Tarlok Singh Chauhan, J.**

Whether approved for reporting ?¹

For the petitioner(s):	Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Advocate General, with Mr. Romesh Verma, Mr. V.S. Chauhan, Additional Advocates General, Mr. J.K. Verma and Kush Sharma, Deputy Advocate Generals.
------------------------	---

For the respondent(s):	Nemo.
------------------------	-------

Mansoor Ahmad Mir, ACJ (Oral)

It appears that the writ petitioners, respondents herein, had questioned recovery notice made by the petitioners-writ respondents by the medium of the writ petitions, which came to be dismissed by this Court. The petitioners herein have remedy available

¹ *Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.*

and can avail the same, in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the contempt petitions are disposed of.

**(Mansoor Ahmad Mir)
Acting Chief Justice.**

April 30, 2014,
(c.m.thakur)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge.**