

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

CWP No. 148 of 2014

Decided on: 28th February, 2014

Tota RamPetitioner.

Versus

State of H.P and others ...Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol, Judge.

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dharam Chand Chaudhary, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?¹ No.

For the petitioner: Mr. Avinash Jaryal, Advocate.

**For the respondents: Mr. Ashok Chaudhary, Addl. A.G
with Mr. Vikram Thakur, Dy. A.G.**

Sanjay Karol, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instructions, does not press the present petition and submits that petitioner shall approach the respondents clearly bringing out the circumstances under which his case is covered by the decision rendered by this ***Court in CWP(T) No. 4357 of 2008 titled as Thakuri Devi versus State of H.P and others, along with connected matters, decided on 27th October, 2009.***

2. No other point is urged.

3. Leaving the questions of law open, it is open for the petitioner to approach the respondents, as prayed for. As and when any such request is received by the appropriate authority, the same shall be considered on its merits, in

¹ Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? Yes.

accordance with law within a period of eight weeks, by affording adequate opportunity of hearing/representation to the petitioner. Needless to add, if the order is not in favour of the petitioner, the authority shall assign reasons while deciding the same, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

4. With these observations, present petition stands disposed of as not pressed, so also pending application(s), if any.

(Sanjay Karol)
Judge.

February 28, 2014
(naveen)

(Dharam Chand Chaudhary)
Judge