# IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE DATED THIS THE 28<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF FEBRUARY 2014 BEFORE

### THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.G.RAMESH

## RPFC NO.132/2011

### **BETWEEN**:

SRI SHIVARUDRASWAMY
AGED ABOUT 56 YEARS
S/O LATE M.H.HUCHAPPA
POLICE SUB-INSPECTOR
SAMPIGKE HALLI POLICE STATION
BANGALORE NORTH TALUK
BANGALORE.
NOW AT BAGALUR POLICE STATION
JALA HOBLI, BANGALORE NORTH TALUK
BANGALORE 562 149. ...

... PETITIONER

(BY SRI BOPANNA & SRI GIRI ADVOCATES)

### AND:

SMT. JAYAMMA @ JAYALAKSHMI AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS W/O SHIVARUDRASWAMY RESIDING AT NO. 18/3 MAGADI ROAD POLICE COLONY BANGALORE-560 023

.... RESPONDENT

(BY SRI B.PAPE GOWDA, ADVOCATE)

RPFC FILED UNDER SEC. 19 (4) OF THE FAMILY COURTS ACT, 1984 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 30.04.2011 PASSED IN CRL. MISC. NO. 75/2009 ON THE FILE OF THE JUDGE, VI ADDL. FAMILY COURT, BANGALORE, ALLOWING THE PETITION FILED UNDER SEC. 125 OF CR.P.C.

RPFC COMING ON FOR ADMISSION THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

# ORDER

# H.G.RAMESH, J. (Oral):

Heard. This revision petition is by the husband. By the impugned order, the Family Court, Bangalore has awarded a maintenance of Rs.5,000/- per month to the respondent-wife. The relationship is not in dispute. The Family Court, on a detailed consideration of the evidence on record has found that the petitioner is residing with some other lady resulting in harassment to the respondent physically and mentally. It is not in dispute that the petitioner is working as a Police Sub-Inspector. In my opinion, the award of a maintenance of Rs.5,000/- per month cannot be said to be either erroneous or excessive interference revisional warranting under the jurisdiction of this Court under Sec. 19(4) of the Family Courts Act, 1984. The revision petition is devoid of merit and is accordingly dismissed. In view

of dismissal of the revision petition, I.A. No.2/2011 filed for grant of interim stay also stands dismissed.

Revision petition dismissed.

Sd/-JUDGE

BNS