

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 27<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF AUGUST, 2014

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.L.MANJUNATH

AND

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.V.CHANDRASHEKARA

**C.C.C. (CIVIL) Nos.1038-39/2014**

**BETWEEN:**

1. SRI S. THIPPESHAPPA  
S/O SIDDARAMAPPA  
AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS  
WORKING AS ASSISTANT IN  
SRI GAVIRANGANATHASWAMY  
HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOL  
GAVIRANGAPURA  
SRIRAMPURA HOBLI, HOSADURGA TALUK  
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-597575
  
2. SRI N JAYAPPA  
S/O NEELAKANTAPPA  
AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS  
WORKING AS ASSISTANT  
PRIMARY SCHOOL TEACHER  
IN SRI GAVIRANGANATHASWAMY  
HIGHER PRIMARY SCHOOL  
GAVIRANGAPURA, SRIRAMPURA HOBLI  
HOSADURGA TALUK  
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-560075

... COMPLAINANTS  
(By Sri: CHANDRAKANTH R GOULAY, ADV.)

**AND:**

1. DR. RAJKUMAR KATRI  
SECRETARY  
STATE OF KARNATAKA  
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION  
(PRIMARY SECTION)  
M S BUILDING  
BANGALORE-560001
2. SRI MAHAMAD MOHASEEN  
COMMISSIONER  
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS  
NRUPATHUNGA ROAD  
BANGALORE-560001
3. SRI K ANANDA  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF  
PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS  
(PRIMARY SECTION)  
NRUAPTHUNGA ROAD  
BANGALORE-560001
4. SRI MANJUSHREE  
THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
ZILLA PANCHAYATH  
CHITRADURGA-560075
5. SRI H MANJUNATHA  
THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF  
PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS  
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT  
CHITRADURGA-560075
6. SRI C M THIPPESWAMY  
BLOCK EDUCATION OFFICER  
HOSADURGA TALUK  
CHITRADURGA DISTRICT-577527
7. SRI H MANJUNATHA

THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF  
PUBLIC INSTRUCTIONS  
CHITRADURGA-577527

... ACCUSED

(By SMT: B.P. RADHA, GP)

THESE CCCs ARE FILED UNDER SECTION 11 & 12 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT, 1971 BY THE COMPLAINANT, WHEREIN HE PRAYS THAT THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT MAY BE PLEASED TO CALL FOR RELEVANT RECORDS AND INITIATE CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE RESPONDENTS FOR DISOBEDIING THE ORDER OF THE HON'BLE TRIBUNAL PASSED IN W.P.NO. 38508-38509/2010 (S-RES) DATED 06.08.2013 AS PER ANNEXURE-A.

THESE CCCs COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, **K.L.MANJUNATH**, J., MADE THE FOLLOWING:

**ORDER**

The Government Advocate submits that the order has been complied with.

The learned counsel for the complainants submits that the order has not been complied with and the payment made by the respondents/accused is not in accordance with the entitlement of the complainants.

This Court sitting in a contempt cannot calculate the actual amount payable to the complainants by the

accused. If there is any error, it is open for the complainants to avail other remedies available to them.

Accordingly, the petitions are dismissed.

**Sd/-  
JUDGE**

**Sd/-  
JUDGE**

DM\*