IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.3769 OF 2010

The Commissioner of Income Tax-3. ...Appellant

-Versus-

M/s Shruti Properties Pvt.Ltd.. ...Respondent

WITH INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.6155 OF 2010

The Commissioner of Income Tax. ...Appellant

-Versus-

Tower Capital Securities Pvt.Ltd.. ...Respondent

WITH INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.2499 OF 2011

The Commissioner of Income Tax-3. ...Appellant

-Versus-

20th Century Holdings Pvt.Ltd.. ...Respondent

WITH INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.567 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Income Tax-3. ...Appellant

-Versus-

Gurgaon Trading Co. Pvt.Ltd.. ...Respondent

WITH INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.568 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Income Tax-3. ...Appellant

-Versus-

Gurgaon Trading Co. Pvt.Ltd.. ...Respondent

.....

Mr.Vimal Gupta, Senior Advocate a/w Ms.Padma Divakar, in Appeal Nos.3769/2010, 2499/2011, 567/2013 and 568/2013.

Mr.Vimal Gupta, Senior Advocate a/w Mr.Ashok Kotangale and Ms.Padma Divakar, for the Appellant in Appeal No.6155/2010.

Mr.P.C.Tripathi i/by Mr.Mihir Naniwadekar, for the Respondent in Appeal Nos.3769/2010 and 6155/2010.

Mr.Sanjiv M. Shah, for the Respondent in Appeal No.2499/2011.

.....

CORAM: S.C. DHARMADHIKARI AND B.P. COLABAWALLA, JJ.

DATE: 31st July, 2014

P.C.:

Mr.Vimal Gupta, learned Senior Counsel appearing in support of these Appeals, fairly states that the issue raised and the question posed in this Appeal is covered by this Court's detailed order delivered in a batch of Appeals. The ambit and scope of Section 2(22)(e) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 has been considered in the Income Tax Appeal No.114/2012 (The Commissioner of Income Tax v/s Impact Containers Pvt. Ltd.) and connected Appeals decided on 04.07.2014. In the light of the conclusions reached in the said judgment the Revenue's Appeals do not raise any substantial question of law. They are disposed of accordingly. No costs.

(B.P. COLABAWALLA, J.)

(S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.)