FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY. CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION.

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.638/2014 IN APPEAL FROM ORDER (ST) NO.12629/2014

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. R. M. Vasudeo for the Applicant.

Mr. Siddharth Karpe for Respondent No.1.

Mr. S. K. Sonawane for Respondent No.2.

CORAM: K. K. TATED, J. DATE: JUNE 30, 2014

P.C.:

- 1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties. This Civil Application is filed by the Intervenor for condonation of delay in filing the Appeal from Order.
- 2. The learned counsel for the Respondent No.1 states that the Applicant has no *locus standi* to file the Appeal from Order. He submits that he has no objection if the delay is condoned in filing the Appeal from Order.
- 3. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Applicant and the averments made in the Application, I am satisfied

Basavraj G Patil 1/2

that the Applicant has made out a case for allowing the present Civil Application. Hence, the Civil Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) which read thus:

- "(a) This Hon'ble Court be pleased to condone the delay of 3 days in filing the above Appeals from Order."
- 4. The question of maintainability of the Appeal from Order filed by the Applicant is kept open.
- 5. Reply filed by Respondent No.1 is taken on record.
- 6. Civil Application stands disposed off accordingly.

JUDGE

Basavraj G Patil 2/2