dik

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1142 OF 2014
ALONG WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.820 OF 2014
AND
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.942 OF 2014

Prakash Industries Ltd. ...Petitioner.

VS

Union of India & Ors. ....Respondents.

.....

Mr Dinesh Purandare a/w Mr Shahezad Kazi & Deepak Rai i/b Negandhi Shah & Himayatullah for the Petitioner.

Mr Shrishailya Sadashiv Deshmukh for Respondent Nos.3 and 4 and for applicant in CA No.820 of 2014.

Ms Choudhary for Respondent No.5

Mr Ashok R Varma for Respondent No.1.

....

CORAM: A.S.OKA AND S.C. GUPTE, J.

MARCH 28, 2014

## **P.C.**:

The learned Counsel appearing for Respondent Nos.3,4 and 5 states that the order passed by the Collector of Dadra and Nagar Haveli on 27 March 2014 can be subjected to a revision in accordance with sub-rule 3 of Rule 3 of the Works of Electricity Rules, 2006. We accept the statement.

- In view of this statement, it is not necessary to entertain this Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India as the Petitioner can take recourse to the remedy as aforesaid.
- To enable the Petitioner to take appropriate steps before the Revisional Authority, we direct that the ad-interim order which is already operative till today, shall continue to operate till 10 April 2014.

- We make it clear that we have made no adjudication on the merits of the controversy and all contentions of the parties are expressly kept open.
- 5 Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.
- 6 Civil Application Nos.942 of 2014 and 820 of 2014 does not survive and same are disposed of accordingly.

(S.C.GUPTE J.)

(A.S.OKA J.)