

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.4411 OF 2014

Navrutti s/o Ganpat Wackchaure

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mr.R.D.Bhalerao, advocate for the petitioner
Mr.K.M.Suryawanshi, A.G.P. for Respondents.

**CORAM : R.M.BORDE &
V.K.JADHAV, JJ.
DATE :28th August, 2014**

PER COURT:

1 The property belonging to the petitioner has been acquired for construction of a canal and award has also been declared by the Land Acquisition Officer.

2 The grievance of the petitioner is that although the house property, belonging to him, is affected as a result of acquisition, no separate compensation has been paid in that regard.

3 The petitioner do have a remedy available in law for claiming redressal of his grievance as regards insufficiency of amount of compensation granted under the Award, by making an application seeking reference to the Court.

4 The grievance raised in this petition as regards

quantum of compensation payable to the petitioner does not deserve consideration. If at all petitioner is entitled to be rehabilitated in accordance with the policy prescribed by the State Government, he may approach the Collector and District Rehabilitation Officer with a suitable request. If the petitioner answers parameters laid down under the policy, it would be open for the Respondent-Collector and District Rehabilitation Officer to consider the request and redress his grievance as regards rehabilitation.

5 In view of above, writ petition stands disposed of.

V.K.JADHAV
JUDGE

adb/wp441114

R.M.BORDE
JUDGE