

Sanjeev Tyagi Vs. State of M.P.

30.4.2014

Shri D.R. Sharma, Advocate for the applicant.

Shri B. R. Pandey, Public Prosecutor for the respondent/State.

Heard on the bail application.

Perused the case diary

This is the second bail application under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. The applicant has been arrested in Crime No.69/2014 registered at Police Station, Indraganj District Gwalior under Sections 420 of IPC and under Section ¾ of Madhya Pradesh, *Manyata Prapt Panksha Adhiniyam*, 1937.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that after dismissal of his first bail application that is M.Cr.C. No.2210/2014 vide order dated 26.3.2014, the investigation has been completed and charge sheet has been filed and co-accused Arvind Dhakad and Shishupal have been enlarged on bail the trial Court.

Learned counsel further submits that the applicant is a young boy of 19 years and is a student. He is in custody since 23.2.2014. Hence prayed for grant of bail on the ground of parity.

The application is opposed by learned Public Prosecutor.

Considering the allegations against the applicant couple with the facts that the other co-accused have been granted bail by the trial Court itself. Applicant is a young boy of 19 years and is a student. He is in custody since 23.2.2014, but without commenting on the merit of the case, the application is allowed. It is directed that the applicant shall be released on bail on his

furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.50,000/- (Rupees Fifty Thousand Only) with one solvent surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of **Trial Court.**

This order will remain operative subject to compliance of the following conditions by the applicant :-

1. The applicant will comply with all the terms and conditions of the bond executed by him;
2. The applicant will cooperate in the investigation/trial, as the case may be;
3. The applicant will not indulge himself in extending inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to the Police Officer, as the case may be;
4. The applicant shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused;
5. The applicant will not seek unnecessary adjournments during the trial; and
6. The applicant will not leave India without previous permission of the trial Court/Investigating Officer, as the case may be.

A copy of this order be sent to the Court concerned for compliance.

C.c. as per rules.

(D.K.Paliwal)

Judge