

C.B. 100/- (1) 5

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT  
BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION(S) NO. 62/7/2014.

PETITIONER:

Lalit Mohan Yadav, son of Madan  
Ram Yadav, aged about 26 years,  
working as Assistant Teacher  
Panchayat, Primary School  
Ganjhiyadih, Block Kunkuri, District  
Jashpur, Chhattisgarh.

R No. 62/7/14  
Presented by Shri. C.B. K. Rao  
Dated 25/11/14

versus

Respondents

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through  
Secretary, Panchayat Welfare  
Department, Mahanadi Bhawan,  
Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District  
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
2. Collector, Jashpur, District Jashpur,  
Chhattisgarh.
3. Chief Executive Officer, Zila  
Panchayat, Jashpur, Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



02/11/14

31

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक... 121 P-05) No- 6213/ 2014

आदेश पत्रक ( पूर्वानुबद्ध )

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><b><u>S.B.: HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE PRITINKER DIWAKER</u></b> <b><u>28.11.2014</u></b></p> <p>Shri C.J.K.Rao, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Rahul Tamaskar, P.L. for the State.</p> <p>Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 20.11.2014 (Annexure P-1) whereby the petitioner who is working as Assistant Teacher has been transferred from Primary School, Ganjhiyadin, Block Kunkuri to Govt. Primary School Ambadipa (Rouni) Block Bagicha.</p> <p>Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred under the garb of rationalization policy whereas as per the said policy all the transfers should have been affected by 15.7.14. He further submits that the wife of the petitioner is also a teacher in the same block where presently the petitioner is working and if the transfer order is allowed to stand the petitioner and his wife have to suffer. He submits that at this stage the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file representation before respondent No.2 and in turn the respondent No.2 be directed to decide the representation within some time frame.</p> <p>State counsel has no objection to the above preposition put forth by the petitioner.</p> <p>Accordingly, the petitioner is permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file representation before respondent</p>	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक.....सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक ( पूर्वानुबद्ध )

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>No.2 along with the copy of this order within a period of two weeks, the same would be decided by the respondent No.2 within a further period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.</p> <p>It is made clear that this Court has not observed anything on merits of the case and it would be for the authority concerned to decide the same in accordance with law.</p>	<p>Sd/- Pritinker Diwaker Judge</p>