

# IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR Single Bench

### Review Petition No. 164 Of 2013

### APPLICANTS PLAINTIFFS

:1. Shriram Mandir Gram Kurra,
Tahsil Kurud, District – Dhamtari
through Sarwarakar Ajay Bais S/o
Late Pyare Lal Bais, aged about 35
years, R/o Village Kurra, P.S.,
Tahsil and District – Dhamtari
(C.G.).

(1)

164 / Lucas 2

Ajay Bais S/o Late Pyare Lal Bais, aged about 35 years, R/o Village Kurra, P.S., Tahsil and District – Dhamtari (C.G.).

#### **VERSUS**

# NON-APPLICANTS DEFENDANTS

- :1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Collector, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (C.G.).
- 2. Registrar, Public Trust through Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Kurud, District Dhamtari (C.G.).
- 3. Nayab Tahsildar Kurud, Tahsil Kurud, District Dhamtari (C.G.).
- 4. Ramadhar Yadav S/o Edal Yadav, aged about 52 years.
- 5. Arjun Lal Patel S/o Khamhan Lal, aged about 45 years.
- 6. Vishnu Ram Sahu S/o Pardeshi Ram Kanwar, aged about 39 years.
- 7. Sevak Ram S/o Pardeshi Ram Kanwar, aged about 40 years.
- 8. Soman Lal Sahu S/o Bahur Singh Sahu, aged about 47 years.
- 9. Manglu Ram Kanwar S/o Thukel Ram Kanwar, ageda bout 54 years.
- 10. Jamal Khan S/o Sheikh Kasam Khan, aged about 48 years.











- 11. Johan Ram Kanwar S/o Ramprasad, aged about 50 years.
- 12. Jhaduram Patel S/o Motiram, aged about 75 years.
- 13. Ajab Singh Kanwar S/o Sunder Singh Kanwar, aged about 56 years.
- 14. Devdhar Ram Sahu S/o Tukaram Sahu, aged about 48 years.
  No. 4 to 14 R/o Village Kurra, Post Bagoud, P.S. and Tahsil Kurud, District Dhamtari (C.G.).

## APPLICATION UNDER ORDER 47 RULE 1 OF CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE



#### <u>HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR</u>

#### SINGLE BENCH: Hon'ble Shri T.P. Sharma, J

#### Review Petition No.164/2013

#### **Applicants**

- 1. Shriram Mandir Gram Kurra.
- 2. Ajay Bais.

Vs

#### Non-applicants

- 1. State of Chhattisgarh
- 2. Registrar, Public Trust
- 3. Nayab Tahsildar, Kurud.
- 4. Ramadhar Yadav
- 5. Arjun Lal Patel
- 6. Vishnu Ram Sahu
- 7. Sevak Ram
- 8. Soman Lal Sahu
- 9. Manglu Ram Kanwar
- 10. Jamal Khan
- 11. Johan Ram Kanwar
- 12. Jhaduram Patel
- 13. Ajab Singh Kanwar
- 14. Devdhar Ram Sahu

Present:

Mr. H.B. Agarwal, Sr. Advocate with Mrs. Meera Jaiswal, Adv. for the applicant.

#### ORDER (26.02.2014)

#### T.P. Sharma, J

- 1. The applicants have filed this petition seeking review of the judgment dated 19.6.2013 passed by this Court in S.A. No.319/2013.
- 2. Heard.
- Learned Senior Counsel for the applicants submits that record of the Court below was not available but in Para-2 of the judgment it has been written that "records of both the Courts below perused".
- 4. Although in the judgment dated 19.6.2013 passed in S.A. No.319/2013 it has been written that "records of both the Courts below perused", but the judgment is substantially passed on the basis of copy of the impugned judgment filed by the applicants. Therefore, we do not find any ground for recalling or review of the said judgment.
- Consequently, the review petition has no substance, the same is liable to be and is hereby dismissed. No order as to cost.

Sd/-T.P. Sharma Judge