

CE
150/-

①

6

Single Bench

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) No. 3608 OF 2014

WP(S) 3608/14

PETITIONER

: Tikaram Ramteke, son of
Jhaduram, aged about 47 years,
posted as Chokidar (C.C.H.)
Branch Halba of Branch
Pakhanjur, of Chhattisgarh
Ware Housing Corporation,
Kokadi, Tahsil & P.S. Kanker,
Civil and Revenue District
Kanker (C.G.),

R.No. 3608/14
Presented by Shri Anand Singh
dated 28.7.14

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- 1) State of Chhattisgargh, Through :
Secretary, Civil Suppliers &
Consumer Protection Department,
Mantralay, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.),
- 2) Managing Director, Chhattisgarh
State Ware Housing Corporation,
Head Quarter 22, Anand Nagar,
Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.),
- 3) Branch Manager, Chattisgarh State
Warehousing Corporation, Branch
Kanker (C.G.),



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:

As mentioned in the cause title.

[Signature]



20

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (S) NO.3608 OF 2014

PETITIONER

Tikaram Ramteke

Versus

RESPONDENTS

State of Chhattisgarh & Others

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Prashant Kumar Mishra, J.

Present :- Shri Anurag Jha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Sangarsh Pandey, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORDER (OPEN COURT)

(Passed on this 31st day of July, 2014)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

1. By this petition, the petitioner is only claiming the payment of increment and other benefits pursuant to the order of regularization passed by the respondent No.2 on 28-2-2009 (Annexure – P/3).
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner may be permitted to move fresh representation and the respondents may decide the same within a specified time-frame.
3. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is directed that the petitioner may submit fresh representation within 15 days from today and the concerned competent authority shall decide the same as early as possible.
4. Accordingly, the petition is finally disposed of.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the respondent authorities shall decide the representation, on its own merits, strictly in accordance with law, without treating any observation made in this order, as opinion on the merits of the case.

Sd/-
Prashant Kumar Mishra
Judge