



1

5

Single Bench
IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR
CONTEMPT CASE NO. 481/2014

COMPLAINANT:-
(Petitioner no.1)

Shailendra Kumar Karsyal, S/O
Bhukhanlal Karsayal, aged about, 36
years, worked was Security Guard
(Contract Basis), in the Office of
National Institute of Technology,
Raipur, R/o MD. 13, Housing Board
Kota Colony, Ward No. 2, Paramhans
Nagar, P/S Saraswati Nagar, Revenue
& Civil District Raipur (C.G)

481/14
Presented by Shri M. M. Mishra
dated 25/11/14

VERSUS

CONTEMNORS: .1.
(RESPONDENTS)

Shri Sudarshan Tiwari,
Director,
National Institute of Technology, G.E.
Road, Raipur, P/S. Civilline, Tehsil,
Revenue and Civil Dist- Raipur

2. Shri Ravindra Sharma,
Registrar,
National Institute of Technology, G.E.
Road, Raipur, P/S. Civilline, Tehsil,
Revenue and Civil Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

3. Shri Ajai Sharma
Deputy Registrar,
National Institute of Technology, G.E.
Road, Raipur, P/S. Civilline, Tehsil,
Revenue and Civil Dist- Raipur (C.G.)

CONTEMPT PETITION UNDER SECTION 215 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SECTION 12 OF THE
CONTEMPT OF COURT ACT

मामला क्रमांक Cont. Cme (C.) 481... सन् 2014

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>SB:HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE SANJAY K. AGRAWAL 28.11.2014</p> <p>Shri Rupesh Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Prateek Sharma, Advocate for the respondents on advance copy.</p> <p>This court by order dated 19.09.2014 observed as under:</p> <p>"In view of the above submission, it is directed that the respondents may proceed with the arrangement and the petitioners shall be given preference in the arrangement. This will be subject to final decision of the petition."</p> <p>The petitioner, in compliance of above order, made a representation to the Registrar, National Institute of Technology, Raipur (for short, NIT) stating that direction has been given by the court to NIT to take back their services and stay has already been granted on the tender issued by the NIT. Thereafter, NIT issued a letter on 01.10.2014 to the petitioner to join the post of Security Guard and inform the said institution.</p> <p>Now the petitioner has filed this contempt petition alleging that pursuant to letter dated 01.10.2014 when</p>	



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

18

मामला क्रमांक.....सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
inder	<p>he submitted joining, some condition has been imposed by the NIT, which is not acceptable to him.</p> <p>The fact remains that in compliance of court's order dated 19.09.2014, NIT Raipur, has directed the petitioner to submit his joining with certain conditions and inform the same to NIT, which has not been accepted by the petitioner.</p> <p>In the considered opinion of this court and in view of above stated factual position, it cannot be said that NIT and its officer have willfully disobeyed order of this court and I do not find any good ground to initiate contempt proceeding against the respondents.</p> <p>Accordingly, the contempt petition is dismissed at this stage.</p>	<p>- 2 -</p> <div>Sd/- Sanjay K. Agrawal Judge</div>

15/12/14