

① ⑤

Single Bench

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

✓ (223) (C.G.)
WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 573 OF 2014 (S.B.)

PETITIONER :

Applicant before Court
Court of Commissioner

Sushil Lakra, S/o Alkash Lakra,
Aged about 40 years, Caste -
Oraon, R/o village Tamra, Police
Station and Tahsil Banki, Civil and
Revenue District Sundergarh
(Orissa)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

Non-applicant


1. Bheekham, S/o Dubraj,
2. Markandey, S/o Dubraj,
3. Premlal, S/o Dubraj,
4. Surjeet, S/o Dubraj,
5. Chandramani, S/o Dubraj,
6. Janki, D/o Dubraj,
7. Pushpa, D/o Dubraj,
8. Nanki, D/o Dubraj,
9. Chainsingh, S/o Jagmohan,
10. Nainsingh, S/o Jagmohan,
11. Rainsingh, S/o Jagmohan,
12. Pitamber, S/o Jagmohan,
13. Lakhanlal, S/o Jagmohan,
14. Kamlabai, D/o Jagmohan,
15. Minor Bade Kushal, S/o Sunau,
father and guardian
16. Minor Chote Kushal, S/o Sunau,
father and guardian

All by caste Kalga, R/o village
Barpali (Saraipali), Tahsil
Tamnar, Police Station Punjipathra,
Civil and Revenue District
Raigarh (C.G.)



- (2) (6)
17. ✓ Natwar Agrawal, Director,
Sharda Energy, Barpali, Police
Station Punjipathra, Tahsil -
Tamnar, Civil and Revenue
District Raigarh (C.G.)
 - 18 The Sub Divisional Officer
(Rev.) Gharghoda, Distt.
Raigarh (C.G.)
 - 19 The Collector, Raigarh, Distt.
Raigarh (C.G.)
 - 20 The Commissioner, Bilaspur
Division, Bilaspur Distt.
Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA



35

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(224) 573/14 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><u>SB : Hon'ble Shri Manindra Mohan Shrivastava, J.</u> <u>31-07-2014</u></p> <p>Shri M.K. Bhaduri, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.</p> <p>The order rejecting petitioner's application for grant of stay is challenged mainly on the ground that even though the petitioner has been served with the notice on 27/05/2014, the Commissioner has rejected stay application on the ground that the proceedings for execution of order of Sub-Divisional Officer towards dispossession have not been initiated.</p> <p>During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that this letter dated 27/05/2014 was not placed before the Commissioner.</p> <p>Considering the submission, the petitioner is granted liberty to file fresh application for grant of stay on a specific ground that steps towards execution of order of SDO, have been initiated by issuance of notice dated 27/05/2014. In case, petitioner moves an application within a period of two weeks from today, no dispossession shall take place.</p> <p>Accordingly, the petition is disposed off.</p>	
Rekte		<p>Sd/- Manindra Mohan Shrivastava Judge</p>