

6

C.L. 1001 -

(3)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) No/537 OF 2014 **Single Bench**
WP (S) 1537 / 14

PETITIONER

: Alok Kumar Jha son of late Chandra Bhushan Jha, aged about 52 years, posted as Assistant Account at the Head Office of Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank Maryadit Rajnandgaon, G.E. Road, Rajnandgaon, Civil and Revenue District Rajnandgaon (C.G.),

Sr. No. 1537/14
Presented by Shri Madhubika Jha
dated 21.3.14

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- 1) State of Chhattisgarh, Through : The Registrar, Cooperative Societies Raipur, situated at over the Police Head Quarter, Indravati Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.),
- 2) Chief Executive Officer, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank Maryadit Rajnandgaon (C.G.),
- 3) Authorized Officer, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank Maryadit Rajnandgaon (C.G.),
- 4) Branch Manager, Zila Sahkari Kendriya Bank Maryadit Rajnandgaon, City Branch, Kamthi Line Rajnandgaon (C.G.),

Madhubika Jha


Smt. Madhubika Jha
Advocate (C.G.)
Uda No 4331537222

7
(4)
5) State Election Commission, Head
Quarter Raipur (C.G.),

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

where

2/1
Smt. Madhulika Jha
Mgt. our Advocate (C.G.)
Mob No. 9301537288



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

76

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 1537/14 सन् 20

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>SB.: Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Agarwal</p> <p><u>31.03.2014</u></p> <p>Mrs. Madhulika Jha, Counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri S.P. Kale, Dy. Advocate General, for respondent No.1</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Shri S.P. Kale, learned Dy. Advocate General appearing for the respondent no.1 submits that the petition is not maintainable as the petitioner has alternative efficacious remedy of filing a petition under Section 55(2) of the Cooperative Societies Act.</p> <p>In view of the above preliminary objection, the petitioner seeks to withdraw the petition with liberty to raise the dispute under Section 55(2) of the Cooperative Societies Act.</p> <p>Permission granted.</p> <p>Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed, as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty granted in favour of the petitioner.</p> <p>However, it is directed that if any petition under Section 55(2) is filed by the petitioner, the Cooperative Court may consider the question of limitation sympathetically. No order as to costs.</p>	

Balram

Sd/-
N.K. Agrawal
Judge