

CP 4001 -

①


Single Bench

BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) No. 2139 /2014

PETITIONERS

P.R.No. 2139/14
Presented by Shri Budhram Sahu
dated 11.11.14



R1

1. Bishram Das Sahu S/o. Late. Sevadas Sahu, aged about 60 years, R/o. Ward No.4, Naya Dhaba, Post-Bajrangpur, Navagaon, District-Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
2. Keshav Lal Thakur S/o. Shri Angad Singh Thakur, aged about 58 years, R/o. Ward No.4, Naya Dhaba, Post-Bajrangpur, Navagaon, District-Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
3. Krishna Kumar Rajak S/o Raghunath Rajak Aged about 52 years, R/o Ward no.47, Mohara Ward, District-Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
4. Kamta Sahu S/o Budhram Sahu aged about 46 years, Shankarpur Ward, Ward no.10, District-Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- 1) State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Secretary,
Urban Administration and
Welfare Department, Mahanadi
Bhawan, Mantralaya, Naya
Raipur, Raipur (C.G.)


7

2

2) Collector, Rajnandgaon,
District – Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

3) Municipal-Corporation,
Rajnandgaon,
Through: it's Commissioner,
Municipal-Corporation,
Rajnandgaon,
District- Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA.



XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक... WP(C) 2139/14 सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><u>SB : Hon'ble Shri Prashant Kumar Mishra, J.</u></p> <p><u>28-11-2014</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Basant Dewangan, Advocate for the petitioner, Shri Arun Sao, Dy. Adv. General for the State and Shri Saurabh Sharma, Advocate for the respondent No.3.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the State would submit that in the present writ petition, the petitioner has assailed the exercise of reservation of wards of the Municipal Corporation, Rajnandgaon. He would submit that by order dated 25-11-2014 the State Government has instructed all the Collectors to initiate fresh exercise for de-limitation of wards, therefore, once fresh de-limitation occurs, reservation of wards would also be made afresh.</p> <p>In view of the above, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous. It is accordingly dismissed as having become infructuous. However, liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to move again if the cause of action still survives.</p>	<p>Sd/- Prashant Kumar Mishra Judge</p>