

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT  
BILASPUR (C.G.)

SECOND APPEAL NO. 92 /2008

APPELLANTS

DEFENDANTS

✓ 1. Bishat, aged about 48 years,  
son of Shri Raja Satnami.

✓ 2. <sup>Smt</sup> ~~Mst~~ Bhagwantin Bai, aged  
about 55 years, wife of  
Bhaiyaram Yadav.

✓ 3. Sukhram son of Bhaiyaram  
aged about 40 years,

✓ 4. <sup>Rajan w/</sup> ~~Rajan~~ aged about 48 years,  
son of Bhagwat Satnami,

✓ 5. Ravi, aged about 32 years,  
son of Baisakhu Satnami,

✓ 6. Derha, aged 60 years, son  
of Bishambar Satnami,

✓ All are agriculturist, Resident  
of Village Pendari, Tahsil  
Bhatapara Distt. Raipur CG

R.R. No. 4023/07  
Presented by Shri <sup>A.K. Bhandari</sup>  
Dated. 22-12-07

RECEIVED-COPY

22/12/07  
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

RESPONDENTS

PLAINTIFFS



VERSUS

✓ 1. <sup>Smt</sup> ~~Mst~~ Rukmin Bai, aged about  
45 years, D/o Tilakram Sahu


✓ 2. Nandlal aged about 22  
years, son of Firanlal Sahu.

✓ 3. Ramkhilavan, aged about  
25 years, son of Firanlal  
Sahu,

*Rukmin*



2



### SINGLE BENCH

✓ All are resident of  
Bhatapara, Distt. Raipur  
(C.G.) *Shiva Bati*

✓ 4. ~~Shi~~ *Shivbati* <sup>sr</sup> aged about 30  
years, daughter of  
Bhaiyaram Yadav, resident  
of village oPendri, Tahsil  
Bhatapara, Distt. Raipur  
(C.G.)

✓ 5. State of Chhattisgarh,  
through the Collector, Distt.  
Raipur (CG)

✓  
SECOND APPEAL UNDER SECTION 100 OF THE CIVIL  
PROCEDURE CODE, 1908



26/09/14

49

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक... SA 09 /08 .....सन् 201

आदेश पत्रक ( पूर्वनिबद्ध )

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><b><u>S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy</u></b></p> <p><b><u>26.09. 2014</u></b></p> <p>None for the appellants.</p> <p>None for respondent No. 4.</p> <p>Shri Sanjeev Agrawal, PL for respondent No.5.</p> <p>Even when the case is called in the second round, counsel for the respondent No.1 to 3, Ms. Meera Jaiswal, Advocate appeared on behalf of the respondent No. 1 to 3.</p> <p>In the absence of any representation on behalf of the appellants, this court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.</p> <p>Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.</p>	<p><b>Sd/-</b> <b>P. Sam Koshy</b> <b>Judge</b></p>