

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**B. A. No.6396 of 2014**

Sujit Kumar. .... Petitioner.  
-Versus-  
The State of Jharkhand. .... Opposite Party.

-----  
**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D. N. UPADHYAY**

-----  
For the Petitioner : Mr. Kumar Nilesh, Advocate  
For the State : APP  
-----

**03/31.10.2014:** Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is an accused in connection with S.T. No.252 of 2013, arising out of Chas P.S. Case No.231 of 2012, corresponding to G.R. No.1276 of 2012, registered under Sections 304B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Bokaro.

It reveals from the first information report that the daughter of the informant, who was married with the petitioner, was subjected to torture and treated with cruelty for want of more dowry. She has been done to death within seven years of her marriage and that too at her matrimonial home.

It is submitted that the informant has raised allegation that the deceased was burnt alive with the help of kerosene oil, but no smell of kerosene oil was noticed by the Doctor at the time of conducting postmortem examination.

Counsel for the State has opposed the petitioner's prayer for bail and submitted that a plastic jerkin of kerosene oil was recovered from the place of occurrence and altogether 12 witnesses out of 14 have been examined and the case is at the fag end.

Considering these aspects, as indicated above, I do not feel inclined to release the petitioner, above-named, on bail.

Accordingly, petitioner's prayer for bail stands rejected.

**(D. N. Upadhyay, J.)**