

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A. B. A. No. 5041 of 2013

Khemlal Mahto Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHREE CHANDRASHEKHAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. A.K.Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Manoj Kr. No. 3, A.P.P.

03/30.06.2014 Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and perused the documents on record.

The learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that on the basis of written report dated 08.10.2013, a First Information Report being Nawadih P.S. Case No. 117 of 2013 (G.R. No. 1183 of 2013) dated 08.10.2013 has been registered under Sections 413, 414, 120B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 33 of the Indian Forest Act against the applicant and the applicant is apprehending his arrest in connection with the said case.

The learned counsel appearing for the applicant submits that only on the basis of the statement given by co-accused namely, Khushi Mahto the present case has been registered against the applicant also.

The learned A.P.P. opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail. He further submits that against all the named accused persons several criminal cases have been registered. There is atleast one more another case registered against the applicant.

The learned counsel appearing for the applicant disputed the statement with respect to registration of another criminal case against the applicant.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, the present anticipatory bail application is dismissed.

However, it would be open to the applicant to bring relevant materials before the learned trial court and it is made clear that the dismissal of the present application would not prejudice the case of the applicant, if the applicant appears or surrenders before the court below and seeks grant of regular bail.

Let a copy of this order be sent through FAX to the concerned court.

(Shree Chandrashekhar, J.)

Tanuj/-