

WP(C) 474/2014

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B D AGARWAL

Heard Mr. K D Sarma, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. K Deka, learned counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner's case is that while he was working as Grade-IV employee in Patba ushi M.E. School, Barpeta he was suspended from the service by order dated 12.01.2007, issued by the DEEO, Barpeta. However, the writ petitioner was not regularized and pay for the period of suspension was also not paid. Hence writ petition No. 2376/2010 was filed in the High Court. The said writ petition was withdrawn on 06.06.2011. In the order dated 11.02.2011, issued by the Director of Elementary Education, it was indicated that the question as to how the period of suspension is to be treated, will be decided after the writ petition is disposed of by the High Court. According to the learned counsel, after withdrawing the writ petition, he is regularly submitting representation before the concerned authority, but no decision has been yet to be taken so far.

I find from the record that one representation was submitted to the Director of Elementary Education, Assam on 23.11.2011 and very recently another representation has been submitted on 20.08.2013. Despite withdrawal of the earlier writ petition, the aforesaid authority has not taken any decision in the matter. Accordingly the respondent No.2 is directed to take a decision on the aforesaid representation within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the aforesaid direction the Writ Petition is disposed of.