

WP(C) 241/2014  
BEFORE  
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.P. KATAKEY

Heard Mr. Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Goswami, learned standing counsel appearing for the respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3 and Mr. Ghosh, learned State counsel appearing for the respondent No. 4.

As agreed to by the learned counsel for the parties the writ petition is taken up for disposal at the motion stage itself.

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that though the process of selection for appointment to the post of junior assistant has been initiated, in which process the petitioner has participated, the authority is contemplating to appoint the respondent No. 5 without publication of select list.

Mr. Goswami, learned standing counsel, Water Resource Department on the basis of the instruction has submitted that in view of the embargo put vide the order passed in WP(C) No. 4435/2013 and other similar matters no appointment could be made and hence there is no question of appointing any person without publication of the select list.

Having regard to the aforesaid submission, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents not to appoint anyone without publication of the select list.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.