WP(C) 994/2008

**BEFORE** 

HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K. SREEDHAR RAO

Heard Sri M Khataniar, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri DC Nath, learn ed counsel for the respondent 3.

Petitioner submits that the respondent employer is discriminating in payment of bonus. To the staff at the head office in Kolkata bonus is paid at the rate of 1 6 per cent, whereas at the tea estate bonus is paid at the rate of 10 per cent. The Industrial Tribunal failed to appreciate this hostile discrimination, and rejected the claim for grant of bonus at the rate of 16 per cent on par with the staff at the head office in Kolkata.

The counsel for the respondent, per contra, submits that the registered trade un ion of the tea estate has entered into an agreement where under bonus payable is agreed at the rate of 10 per cent. Monetary incentive is given to the staff at the head office in Kolkata by way of ex gratia and not bonus. Therefore there is no parallel between the ex gratia paid to the staff at the head office in Kolla ta and bonus paid to the workers at the tea garden. Bonus is paid by the tea est ate as per the agreement at the rate of 10 per cent, which is valid.

The tribunal rightly appreciated the matter. I do not find any merit in the clai m of the petitioner and the findings given by the Tribunal are sound and proper. Bonus is paid as per the agreement entered into between the management and the registered trade union. Petitioner is not a party to it. Petitioner has not ente red into any agreement with the management. Accordingly the writ petition is dis missed.