

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM
CIVIL JURISDICTION**

W.P.(C) No. 05/2014

Lobsang Tshering Bhutia vs. Union of India & Ors.

**BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN**

02. 04.04.14 Present: M/s Zangpo Sherpa, Dewen Sharma Luitel and
Doma Lepcha, Advocates for the Petitioner.

M/s Karma Thinlay, Central Govt. Advocate with
Sedenla Bhutia, Thinlay Dorjee Bhutia and D.K.
Siwakoti, Advocates for respondents No. 1 and 2.

M/s. S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocates
for State-respondents No. 3 and 4.

...

Learned counsel for both the parties argued the case at length, however, during the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of Counter Affidavit filed by respondents No. 1 and 2, wherein details regarding acquisition of disputed land have been given, sought permission to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to participate in the mutation proceedings and/or approach the Revenue/Competent Authorities, in respect of grievances raised in the present writ petition.

The prayer appears to be reasonable, therefore, it is allowed.

The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn with liberty, as prayer for.

It is needless to mention that since the writ petition has been disposed off finally, therefore, the interim order stands vacated automatically.

Sd/-
Chief Justice
04.04.2014

CMA No. 12 / 2014 in W.P.(C) No. 05 / 2014
Lobsang Tshering Bhutia vs. Union of India & Ors.

BEFORE
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN

04. 04.04.14 Present: M/s Zangpo Sherpa, Dewen Sharma Luitel and
Doma Lepcha, Advocates for the Petitioner/Applicant.

M/s Karma Thinlay, Central Govt. Advocate with
Sedenla Bhutia, Thinlay Dorjee Bhutia and D.K.
Siwakoti, Advocates for respondents No. 1 and 2.

M/s. S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocates
for State-respondents No. 3 and 4.

...

Since the main writ petition has been disposed off
finally, therefore, the Stay Application stands disposed off.

Sd/-
Chief Justice
04.04.2014