CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

Bail Appl. No. 11/2014 Lakpa Sherpa vs. State of Sikkim

BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN

01. **26**.**08**.**14** Present:

M/s. S.S. Hamal and Ashok Subba, Advocates for the Petitioner.

M/s. Karma Thinlay, Addl. Public Prosecutor with S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutors for the State.

S.I. Umesh Pradhan and S.I. Santa Bdr. Gurung, Investigating Officers present in person.

...

Learned counsel for petitioner, after arguing the Bail Application for some time and seeing the C.D. of the incident in the Court, submitted that he does not want to press this Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Bail Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C. is dismissed, as not pressed.

Chief Justice 26.08.2014

CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

Bail Appl. No. 12/2014 Lakpa Sherpa vs. State of Sikkim

BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN

01. **26**.**08**.**14** Present:

M/s. S.S. Hamal, Tashi Wongdi Bhutia and Ashok Subba, Advocates for the Petitioner.

M/s. Karma Thinlay, Addl. Public Prosecutor with S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State.

S.I. Umesh Pradhan and S.I. Santa Bdr. Gurung, Investigating Officers present in person.

...

Learned counsel for petitioner, after arguing the Bail Application for some time and seeing the C.D. of the incident in the Court, submitted that he does not want to press this Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Bail Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C. is dismissed, as not pressed.

Chief Justice 26.08.2014

CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

Bail Appl. No. 13/2014 Lakpa Sherpa vs. State of Sikkim

BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN

01. **26**.**08**.**14** Present:

M/s. S.S. Hamal, Tashi Wongdi Bhutia and Ashok Subba, Advocates for the Petitioner.

M/s. Karma Thinlay, Addl. Public Prosecutor with S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State.

S.I. Umesh Pradhan and S.I. Santa Bdr. Gurung, Investigating Officers present in person.

...

Learned counsel for petitioner, after arguing the Bail Application for some time and seeing the C.D. of the incident in the Court, submitted that he does not want to press this Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Bail Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C. is dismissed, as not pressed.

Chief Justice 26.08.2014

CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

Bail Appl. No. 14/2014 Lakpa Sherpa vs. State of Sikkim

BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN

01. **26**.**08**.**14** Present:

M/s. S.S. Hamal, Tashi Wongdi Bhutia and Ashok Subba, Advocates for the Petitioner.

M/s. Karma Thinlay, Addl. Public Prosecutor with S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State.

S.I. Umesh Pradhan and S.I. Santa Bdr. Gurung, Investigating Officers present in person.

...

Learned counsel for petitioner, after arguing the Bail Application for some time and seeing the C.D. of the incident in the Court, submitted that he does not want to press this Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Bail Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C. is dismissed, as not pressed.

Chief Justice 26.08.2014

CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

Bail Appl. No. 15/2014 Lakpa Sherpa vs. State of Sikkim

BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN

01. **26**.**08**.**14** Present:

M/s. S.S. Hamal, Tashi Wongdi Bhutia and Ashok Subba, Advocates for the Petitioner.

M/s. Karma Thinlay, Addl. Public Prosecutor with S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State.

S.I. Umesh Pradhan and S.I. Santa Bdr. Gurung, Investigating Officers present in person.

..

Learned counsel for petitioner, after arguing the Bail Application for some time and seeing the C.D. of the incident in the Court, submitted that he does not want to press this Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Bail Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C. is dismissed, as not pressed.

Chief Justice 26.08.2014

CRIMINAL JURISDICTION

Bail Appl. No. 16/2014 Lakpa Sherpa vs. State of Sikkim

BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN

01. **26**.**08**.**14** Present:

M/s. S.S. Hamal, Tashi Wongdi Bhutia and Ashok Subba, Advocates for the Petitioner.

M/s. Karma Thinlay, Addl. Public Prosecutor with S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Public Prosecutor for the State.

S.I. Umesh Pradhan and S.I. Santa Bdr. Gurung, Investigating Officers present in person.

...

Learned counsel for petitioner, after arguing the Bail Application for some time and seeing the C.D. of the incident in the Court, submitted that he does not want to press this Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the Bail Application under Section 438 Cr. P.C. is dismissed, as not pressed.

Chief Justice 26.08.2014