

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

CIVIL JURISDICTION

WP(C) No.28/2014
M/S KMC Brahmaputra Infrastructure vs. U.O.I. & Ors.

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. K. SINHA, JUDGE

04. 29.09.2014 Present : Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Central Government Advocate for Respondent No.1.

Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Additional Advocate General with Mr. S. K. Chettri and Mrs. Pollin Rai, Assistant Government Advocates for the State/ Respondents/No.2 and 3.

Heard.

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, learned Counsel for the petitioner, submits that the impugned order dated 03.02.2014 has already been withdrawn by a subsequent order dated 27.09.2014, therefore, the Writ Petition now has become infructuous.

In view of the above facts, when the impugned order dated 03.02.2014 has already been withdrawn, nothing survives for adjudication.

The Writ Petition, therefore is dismissed having become infructuous.

Judge
29-09-2014

C.M.A. No.307/2014 in W.P.(C) No.28/2014

M/S KMC Brahmaputra Infrastructure vs. U.O.I. & Ors.

01.	29.09.2014	Present :	Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Advocate for the Petitioner.
			Mr. Karma Thinlay Namgyal, Central Government Advocate for Respondent No.1.
			Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Additional Advocate General with Mr. S. K. Chettri and Mrs. Pollin Rai, Assistant Government Advocates for the State/ Respondents/No.2 and 3.

This is an application filed by the Respondents 2 and 3 for taking order dated 27.09.2014 on record.

The said order is taken on record.

Judge
29-09-2014

At