## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

## CIVIL JURISDICTION

W.P.(C) No. 29/2014

Singey Wangchuk Bhutia vs. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Sikkim & Ors.

## BEFORE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. JUSTICE N.K. JAIN

**03**. **18.07.14** Present: M/s. Rinzing Dorjee and Gurmey Bhutia, Advocates for the Petitioner.

M/s. J.B. Pradhan, Addl. Advocate General, Karma Thinlay, Sr. Govt. Advocate with S.K. Chettri and Pollin Rai, Asstt. Govt. Advocates, Bhusan Nepal, Advocate for HRDD, for State-respondents.

...

Learned counsel for both the parties argued the case at length, on merits, but during the course of arguments, they agreed to dispose of the writ petition by issuing the following directions to the respondents: -

- (i) If, due to non-joining of the candidates on the allotted seats for MBBS/BDS or some new seats of MBBS/BDS come from Central Pool and second counseling takes place, then the case of the petitioner will be considered, as per his merit and in accordance with law. He will be allowed to participate in the second counseling and he will be allotted a seat of MBBS/BDS, if he becomes entitled as per his merit and in accordance with law.
- (ii) Ordered accordingly.

With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed off. No order as to costs.