\$~20

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ BAIL APPLN. 1568/2013

IKRAM

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr.Shahid Ali with Mr.I. Hasan,

Mr. Umar and Mr. Satish Kumar, Advs.

versus

STATE .

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. O.P. Saxena, APP for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE VEENA BIRBAL

ORDER 30.08.2013

%

CRL.M.A.12877/2013 (exemption)

Exemption as prayed is allowed, subject to just exceptions.

Application stands disposed of.

BAIL APPLN. 1568/2013

The FIR is registered on the statement of one Nitin. The allegations are that on 10.8.2013 at about 7.30 p.m., Nitin who is their neighbour, had got touched with Sonu due to darkness as a result of which some quarrel had taken place. In the meantime, brother of complainant came and separated them. After five minutes thereof, Sonu along with his father i.e. accused Ikram, Nasir @ Naseem and one more person came to the house of complainant i.e. Nitin with wooden *dandas* and started quarrelling with them. The allegations against the present petitioner are that he had slapped Page 1 of 2

2_

aunt of the complainant while his associates had beaten with danda blows to other persons. After beating, they had left the house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the son of the present petitioner had received merciless beatings by the complainant Nitin and FIR has been registered against the complainant and his associates. It is stated that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and the FIR has been registered as a counter blast to the FIR of the complainant.

The MLC has been perused as per which there is a clot on the left nostril of the injured. No other external injury is noted in the MLC. There is a cross case also against the complainant registered on the complaint of son of the accused.

Considering the nature of allegations, it is ordered that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond in the sum of ₹10,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of arresting officer/I.O.

The petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called by the I.O. In case the petitioner fails to join the investigation, the State shall be at liberty to apply for cancellation of bail.

The petition stands disposed of.

Dasti.

VEENA BIRBAL, J

AUGUST 30, 2013 srb/kks