

9

\$~12 & 13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 63/2006**

GURBAX SINGH @ BUCCHI & ORS. Petitioners

Through: Nemo.

versus

STATE & ORS. Respondents

Through: Ms. Charu Dalal, Advocate for
Mr.Saleem Ahmed, Additional
Standing Counsel for respondent-
State.

+ **CRL.REV.P. 693/2010 & Crl. M.A. No.16778/2010**

PRITPAL SINGH & ANR. Petitioners

Through: Nemo.

versus

STATE & ANR. Respondents

Through: Ms. Charu Dalal, Advocate for
Mr.Saleem Ahmed, Additional
Standing Counsel for respondent-
State with SI N.L Yadav.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUNIL GAUR

ORDER

%

31.01.2013

Afore-captioned two petitions are outcome of FIR No.370/2005,
registered at Police Station Kirti Nagar, Delhi.

In the first petition, i.e. W.P.(Crl.) No. 63/2006, quashing of
aforesaid FIR, under Sections 182/191/192/195/211/120-B /34, is sought

W.P.(Crl) No.63/2006 & Crl. Rev. P. No.693/2010

Page 1

10

by petitioners and by the second afore-captioned petition, i.e. Crl. Revision Petition No.693/2001, quashing of impugned order of 19th August, 2010 framing charges under Sections 307/ 186/ 353/ 332/ 147/ 148/149 IPC is sought by petitioners. While entertaining these petitions, proceedings before the trial court were not stayed.

Ms. Charu Dalal, Advocate, appearing for respondent No.1-State, on instructions from SI N.L. Yadav, Investigating Officer of these cases, points out that proceedings in afore-captioned petitions before the trial court have concluded and these petitions have become infructuous. Perhaps for this reason, none has appeared for petitioners.

In the light of above, these petitions and pending applications are disposed of as infructuous.


(SUNIL GAUR)
JUDGE

JANUARY 31, 2013

rs