

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9130 of 2013 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 30.4.2013.

Rajbir Singh & another

--Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

--Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.**

Present:- Mr. Y.P. Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

**TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J**

Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that petitioner no.1 was initially appointed on the post of Ticket Verifier on daily wage basis on 17.10.1980. Likewise, petitioner no.2 was appointed on 21.9.1979. Services of both the petitioners were regularized w.e.f. 1.4.1993. Counsel has referred to the categorical averments made in para 5 of the writ petition to assert that a number of other Ticket Verifiers, who were appointed on daily wage basis subsequent to the date of initial appointment of the petitioners and whose services had also been regularized w.e.f. 1.4.1993 have been granted the benefit of ante dated regularization w.e.f 1.4.1987 vide order dated 7.8.2012 (Annexure P-4).

Counsel would argue that the denial of the same benefit to the petitioners even though appointed prior in point of time, be it on daily wage basis, would be arbitrary and violative of Articles 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

The present writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 i.e. the Director General, State Transport, Haryana to consider the claim of the petitioners and to take a final decision

on the representation dated 25.8.2012 (Annexure P-6) as also legal notice dated 19.11.2012 (Annexure P-7) strictly in accordance with law and by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Petition disposed of.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)**  
**JUDGE**

**30.4.2013**

lucky