

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 18085 of 2013
Date of Decision : 31.08.2013**

Ram Krishan

..... Petitioner

Versus

Bimal Kishore & others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Hardip Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Puri, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

- 1· Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
- 2· To be referred to the Reporters or not?
- 3· Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURINDER GUPTA, J.

Heard.

The learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the Land Acquisition Tribunal, Patiala (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') has not decided the question of apportionment of compensation on merits. The applications of the parties made to this effect to the Tribunal have not been decided. Under the circumstances, the appropriate course would be to direct the Tribunal to decide the matter pertaining to the claim of apportionment of compensation after hearing all the interested parties and taking into consideration their respective pleas/objections.

Ordered accordingly.

We further direct the Tribunal to decide the issue of apportionment at the earliest possible, preferably before 31.12.2013.

The parties are directed to appear before the Tribunal in the matter pertaining to apportionment of compensation on 16.09.2013.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**

31.08.2013

'Satyawar'