Civil Writ Petition No.12663 of 2013

{1}

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Date of Decision: May 31, 2013

Ram Parkash & others

.... PETITIONERS

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Mr.R.K.Arora, Advocate,

for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this writ petition is for directions to the

respondents to consider and grant retrospective promotion to the

petitioners as Lecturers and thereafter as Principals in PES-II Group-

A cadre from the date persons junior to them have been granted

promotion, vide order dated 15.2.2010 (Annexure-P-6).

It has been contended by the petitioners that despite they

being senior, respondent Nos.3 to 5 have been promoted firstly as

Lecturers and thereafter Principals, without considering their claim for

such promotion to the post. Since the petitioners are senior to these

respondents, they had a prior right for consideration for promotion.

Accordingly, the petitioners had served a legal notice dated

14.03.2013 (Annexure-P-8) upon respondent Nos.1 and 2, but no

response thereto, till date, has been received.

Civil Writ Petition No.12663 of 2013

{2}

In the light of the pleadings, I am of the considered view

that the interest of justice would be served by issuing direction to the

Secretary to Government, Department of Education, Punjab-

respondent No.1 to consider and decide the legal notice served by

the petitioners dated 14.03.2013 (Annexure-P-8) within a period of

four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the petitioners are held entitled to the benefit as

claimed by them through their aforesaid legal notice, consequential

benefits be granted to them within a further period of two months.

Arrears shall, however, be restricted to 38 months prior to

the date of service of legal notice served upon the respondents.

If the claim as projected by the petitioners through their

aforesaid legal notice is not to be accepted, then a speaking and well

reasoned order be passed by respondent No.1 and the same be

conveyed to the petitioners forthwith.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

May 31, 2013

ramesh