

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CrI.M.No.M-44329 of 2013(O&M)**

**Date of Decision: December 31, 2013**

Charu and another

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAM CHAND GUPTA**

**Present:** Petitioners in person with  
Mr.C.S.Sharma, Advocate.

.....

**RAM CHAND GUPTA, J.(Oral)**

The present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of direction to respondent nos.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent nos.4 and 5.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners will be satisfied if respondent no.2, i.e., Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, is directed to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on application, dated 28.12.2013, Annexure P4, already made to him by the petitioners.

As per averments made in the petition both the petitioners are major. Date of birth of petitioner no.1-Charu, as per copy of Identity card issued by Election Commission of India, Annexure P1, is 11.12.1987, and date of birth of petitioner No.2-Pardeep Singh, as per copy of Permanent Account Number Annexure P2, is 15.8.1981. They got married on 28.12.2013. Photographs of the marriage are Annexure P3.

In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the claim raised by the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent no.2, i.e., Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda, to take appropriate action, in accordance with law, on application, dated 28.12.2013, Annexure P4,

already stated to have been moved to him by the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order will be having no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of petitioners and also on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against petitioner no.2 as per law.

**31.12.2013**

meenu

**(Ram Chand Gupta)**  
**Judge**